COLLABORATIVE PROBLEM SOLVING

This section outlines a conversation template, which illustrates the application of the alignment pyramid^m to problem solving. This conversation template applies to problem solving conversations at *all* levels in an organisation's structure.

Initiators tend to:

⇒ Start conversations with proposing a solution – "We should do X" or "I would like Y!" (level 3 discussion – means/strategy)

Respondents tend to:

- \Rightarrow respond with "yes" or "no" answers often "no" answers
- \Rightarrow often state counter positions (level 3 discussion means/strategy)
- \Rightarrow stating reasons as to "why you/we can't do X"
- \Rightarrow stalemate or conflict ensues leading to frustration and lack of resolution

Respondents are more effective when:

- \Rightarrow holding back from responding to the surface proposal/request/demand, i.e. from engaging in the level 3 conversation about means/strategy;
- ⇒ instead seek to get to the intentions / the issue below the surface "What's the issue or problem you're intending to address?" i.e. focus on interests (level 2 discussion – goals) not positions at level 3.
- ⇒ understand the importance of the issue/problem to the initiator "I want to make sure I understand how significant this is . How does it impact you / others / work unit / customers etc." (level 1 discussion – values)

The **Initiator** is more effective when:

 \Rightarrow starting the communication with an explicit statement of intent "I'm aiming to address/resolve/improve situation X (level 2:goals), because ... (level 3:purpose)

 \Rightarrow seeking to obtain information about the other's perspective on the issue \Rightarrow making explicit *their* reasoning – how they arrived at *their* conclusions/perspective

⇒ seeking information about the same from the others in the conversation "Could you tell me about how you arrived at your understanding of the problem, and your proposed solution/ ideas about possible solutions?"

 \Rightarrow using paraphrasing to secure accurate understanding of all information

Both Initiators and Respondents are more effective when:

- \Rightarrow managing emotions / aim to stay calm
- \Rightarrow practicing awareness of assumptions of intent
- ⇒ checking for accuracy of understanding with paraphrasing "What I understand from what you've said is ..."
- \Rightarrow leaving the last part of the conversation for jointly exploring and evaluating a range of solutions (level 3 discussion means)

General Collaborative Problem Solving Principals

- Focus on behaviour. Do not express your beliefs/theories about other's intentions and/or objectives. This is particularly important when the goal is to positively influence counterproductive behaviour. Allowing the other party to "save face" reduces the risk of disengagement and resistance.
- 2. Separate out nominated strategies from intentions. People generally identify with their ideas, so outright rejection of ideas can cause perceptions of personal rejection. Identify and acknowledge the value of intentions *prior to* evaluating the merits of nominated strategies.
- **3.** Separate out feelings from behaviour.

Counterproductive behaviours or requests/demands are nearly always expressions of negative feelings. Identify, acknowledge and seek to make sense of perceptions and feelings that underpin unhelpful ideas and behaviours, *prior* to communicating limits and expectations in your response. (Without accepting unacceptable behaviour such as aggression)

Strong Feelings are signs that a person is discussing something of importance to them. Seek to understand why (level 1).

- Use inclusive language when defining parameters and expectations to depersonalise the message and reduce an overly authoritarian approach. E.g. "What *we* need to do here is ..."
- **5.** Define limits and expectations in terms of *business* needs, not *personal* terms.

© Marco Korn 2022 0400 088 771